

[12th October 1928]

## Revenue Establishments

*Tahsildars in Ganjam, Vizagapatam and Guntur districts.*

\* 550 Q.—Diwan Bahadur P. C. ETHIRAJULU NAYUDU: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state the number and community of the Tahsildars in the Ganjam, Vizagapatam and Guntur districts, acting and permanent?

A.—The hon. Member is referred to the Revenue establishment lists of the districts corrected up to 1st April 1928 which are available in the Secretariat Library for reference.

## Village Establishments

*Appointment of assistant karnams with hereditary rights in villages in Kurnool district.*

\* 551 Q.—Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is not a fact that the assistant karnams of Midutur, Nandikotkur, Paramanchala, Atmakur and Velgode in the Kurnool district were appointed in 1872 with hereditary rights;

(b) whether it is a fact that after 40 years in 1911 the hereditary rights of assistant karnams was first annulled by G.O. No. 2632 of 1911 and B.P. No. 250, dated 26th September 1911;

(c) whether it is a fact that the assistant redds were created after Act III of 1895;

(d) whether it is a fact that such assistant redds are now recognized as hereditary;

(e) whether it is a fact that the work of village accountants in such villages as stated in (a) is not sufficiently heavy to require assistant karnam; and

(f) whether the Government will further investigate the question of appointing assistant karnams with hereditary rights in such villages?

A.—(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) The answer is in the negative.

(c) The answer is in the negative.

(d) A reference has been made to the Board of Revenue on this point.

(e) It has been reported that the work in three of the villages mentioned is sufficiently heavy to justify assistant karnams.

(f) The Government will consider the question of appointing karnams instead of assistant karnams in villages where the work justifies more than one karnam.

Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO:—"In view of the answer to clause (f), may I know whether the Government will make a reference to the Board of Revenue and, if the work in the villages I have mentioned justified the appointment of two karnams, recommend to them the appointment of additional karnams?"

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS:—"It is stated in the answer that the Government are considering the appointment of additional karnams."